

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/115,764

Applicant(s)

REASONER

Examiner

Vinh Luong

Group Art Unit

3682

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vinh Luong

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Harold W. Milton

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 9/21/99

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1, 18 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Teichert and Glover et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner suggested to: (1) cancel the recitation "to shorten the overall length of said first and second conduit sections" in claims 1, 18 and 19; and (2) submit the fee for the Supplemental Information Disclosure that has the concise explanation of the EPO # 0517583. Applicant explained that Teichert and Glover et al. do not teach the shortening of the conduit sections. Applicant pointed out that the spring 38 of Teichert reacts in compression and does not pull the components together. The examiner explained that in the previous Office action, the elements 36 and 58 are interpreted as the conduit sections, not 44 and 58. Applicant has filed an Amendment with a signed Declaration. The Amendment will be considered in due course.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.